

## W Decay Kinematics and Constraints

Consider the decay of a  $W^\pm$  into an  $e, \nu$  pair, where we assume that both final leptons are massless and that we can measure the 3-vector of the charged lepton and the transverse momentum of the neutrino (from missing  $p_T$ ). The relevant measured variables are

$$p_{z,e}, p_{T,e} : E_e = \sqrt{p_{z,e}^2 + p_{T,e}^2},$$

$$p_{T,\nu}, \Delta\phi_{e,\nu}.$$

The primary unknown is  $p_{z,\nu}$ , in terms of which we have

$$E_\nu = \sqrt{p_{z,\nu}^2 + p_{T,\nu}^2}.$$

Allowing the intermediate  $W$  to be offshell by an amount  $\Delta M^2$  we can use the onshell condition for the  $W$  to derive an expression for the unknown momentum,

$$\begin{aligned} 2p_e \cdot p_\nu &= M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 \\ &= 2(E_e E_\nu - p_{z,e} p_{z,\nu} - p_{T,e} p_{T,\nu} \cos \Delta\phi) \\ &= 2\left(E_e \sqrt{p_{z,\nu}^2 + p_{T,\nu}^2} - p_{z,e} p_{z,\nu} - p_{T,e} p_{T,\nu} \cos \Delta\phi\right). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notation let us give a label to the known transverse dot product (note the sign, we expect  $M_T^2 \geq 0$ , unless the  $W$  has extremely large transverse momentum so that the leptons are moving the same general direction,  $\Delta\phi < \pi/2$ ),

$$M_T^2 \equiv -2p_{T,e} p_{T,\nu} \cos \Delta\phi.$$

Thus we can write

$$\sqrt{p_{z,\nu}^2 + p_{T,\nu}^2} = [p_{z,e} p_{z,\nu} + 0.5(M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2)] / E_e.$$

After squaring we have

$$p_{z,\nu}^2 + p_{T,\nu}^2 = \left[ p_{z,e}^2 p_{z,\nu}^2 + p_{z,e} p_{z,\nu} (M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2) + 0.25 (M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2)^2 \right] / E_e^2$$

or

$$p_{z,\nu}^2 p_{T,e}^2 - p_{z,e} p_{z,\nu} (M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2) + p_{T,\nu}^2 E_e^2 - 0.25 (M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2)^2 = 0.$$

Thus we can solve for the unknown longitudinal momentum of the neutrino,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{z,\nu} &= \frac{p_{z,e} (M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2) \pm \sqrt{p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 [p_{T,\nu}^2 E_e^2 - 0.25 (M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2)^2]}}{2p_{T,e}^2} \\ &= \frac{p_{z,e} (M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2) \pm E_e \sqrt{(M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2}}{2p_{T,e}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this expression describes the actual (real) kinematics we expect that

$$(M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, the usual constraint fit assumes an onshell  $W$  so that the implied longitudinal momentum is

$$\tilde{p}_{z,\nu} = \frac{p_{z,e} (M_W^2 - M_T^2) \pm E_e \sqrt{(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2}}{2p_{T,e}^2}$$

and there is a chance that

$$(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 < 0.$$

Using the previous (true) result we have

$$(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 \geq -2\Delta M^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2) - \Delta M^4.$$

Since we expect that  $M_T^2 < M_W^2/2$  (the limit corresponds to transverse  $W$  decay at rest), the first term on the right is larger than the second and the sign of the right hand side depends on the sign of  $\Delta M^2$ . Thus for a virtual  $W$  below the pole ( $\Delta M^2 < 0$ ) the constraint should always yield a real solution. However, for an intermediate  $W$  above the pole ( $\Delta M^2 > 0$ ) there should be a sizeable possibility of a complex solution,  $(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 < 0$ .

Now let us compare this fake result to the true value for the minimum solutions to this equations,

$$p_{z,\nu \min} - \tilde{p}_{z,\nu \min} = \frac{p_{z,e}\Delta M^2 + E_e\sqrt{(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2} - E_e\sqrt{(M_W^2 + \Delta M^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2}}{2p_{T,e}^2}.$$

Of particular interest is the case when the problems are just beginning

$$(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 = 0,$$

$$p_{z,\nu \min} - \tilde{p}_{z,\nu \min} \Big|_{(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 = 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2} = \frac{p_{z,e}\Delta M^2 - E_e\sqrt{2\Delta M^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2) + \Delta M^4}}{2p_{T,e}^2}.$$

This results suggests that  $p_{z,\nu \min}$  will be smaller than  $\tilde{p}_{z,\nu \min}$  in this corner of phase space. This value of  $\tilde{p}_{z,\nu \min}$  is also what is returned by the rule that one should use the real part of the constrained value when it is complex. Let us assume that  $(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 < 0$  and use the real part of  $\tilde{p}_{z,\nu \min}$  to calculate an effective virtual  $W$  mass,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_W^2 &= 2p_e \cdot (\text{Re } \tilde{p}_\nu) = 2 \left[ E_e \sqrt{(\text{Re } \tilde{p}_{z,\nu})^2 + p_{T,\nu}^2} - p_{z,e} \text{Re } \tilde{p}_{z,\nu} + 0.5M_T^2 \right] \\ &= 2 \left[ \frac{E_e}{2p_{T,e}^2} \sqrt{p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 + 4p_{T,e}^4 p_{T,\nu}^2} - \frac{p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)}{2p_{T,e}^2} + 0.5M_T^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to eliminate the square root and try to arrange to replace most of the dependence on the 4-vector components with the true invariant mass. So isolate the square root and square,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E_e^2}{p_{T,e}^4} \left( p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 + 4p_{T,e}^4 p_{T,\nu}^2 \right) &= \left[ \tilde{M}_W^2 - M_T^2 + \frac{p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)}{p_{T,e}^2} \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{p_{T,e}^4} \left[ p_{T,e}^4 (\tilde{M}_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 + p_{z,e}^4 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 + 2p_{T,e}^2 p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2) (\tilde{M}_W^2 - M_T^2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Manipulating and combining terms we find

$$\left( \tilde{M}_W^2 - M_T^2 \right)^2 + 2 \frac{p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2) (\tilde{M}_W^2 - M_T^2)}{p_{T,e}^2} - \frac{p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2}{p_{T,e}^2} - 4E_e^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 = 0$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_W^2 &= M_T^2 - \frac{p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)}{p_{T,e}^2} \pm \sqrt{\left( \frac{p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)}{p_{T,e}^2} \right)^2 + \frac{p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2}{p_{T,e}^2} + 4E_e^2 p_{T,\nu}^2} \\ &= \frac{p_{z,e}^2 M_W^2}{p_{T,e}^2} + \frac{E_e^2 M_T^2}{p_{T,e}^2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{E_e^2 p_{z,e}^2 (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2}{p_{T,e}^4} + 4E_e^2 p_{T,\nu}^2} \end{aligned}$$

Can this be simplified and/or related to  $\Delta M^2$ ?

Matt Bowen has proceeded by using the real part of the above expression, *i.e.*, define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{p}_{z,\nu} &= \text{Re} \left[ \frac{p_{z,e} (M_W^2 - M_T^2) \pm E_e \sqrt{(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2}}{2p_{T,e}^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{p_{z,e} (M_W^2 - M_T^2) \pm E_e \sqrt{(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2}}{2p_{T,e}^2} : \text{if } (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 > 0, \\ &= \frac{p_{z,e} (M_W^2 - M_T^2)}{2p_{T,e}^2} : \text{if } (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 < 0.\end{aligned}$$

Another way to proceed is to explicitly use the expected Breit-Wigner shape of the  $W$  mass distribution and apply likelihood methods. Specifically we can define the trial mass as

$$\overline{M}_W^2(\bar{p}_{z,\nu}) \equiv 2p_e \cdot \bar{p}_\nu = 2 \left[ E_e \sqrt{(\bar{p}_{z,\nu})^2 + p_{T,\nu}^2} - p_{z,e} \bar{p}_{z,\nu} \right] + M_T^2$$

and substitute this expression into a "likelihood" function given by the Breit-Wigner form

$$\mathcal{L}(\bar{p}_{z,\nu}) \equiv \frac{M_W^2 \Gamma_W^2}{\left( M_W^2 - \overline{M}_W^2(\bar{p}_{z,\nu}) \right)^2 + M_W^2 \Gamma_W^2},$$

where I am ignoring any issues about the  $\overline{M}_W^2$  dependence of the width and the choice of normalization is for convenience. In general, when  $(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 > 0$  this function of  $\bar{p}_{z,\nu}$  has two local maxima corresponding to  $\overline{M}_W^2 = M_W^2$  with  $\bar{p}_{z,\nu}$  values given by the two solutions of the above quadratic equations. For the other situation,  $(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 < 0$ , the likelihood method requires that we solve for the (real) value of  $\bar{p}_{z,\nu}$  which maximizes this function, *i.e.*, yields a lepton pair mass as close as possible to  $M_W^2$ . This in turn arises from minimizing the quantity  $\left[ E_e \sqrt{(\bar{p}_{z,\nu})^2 + p_{T,\nu}^2} - p_{z,e} \bar{p}_{z,\nu} \right]$ , which happens for (the single value)  $\bar{p}_{z,\nu} = p_{z,e} (p_{T,\nu}/p_{T,e})$ . Thus the summary of this method is to use the following neutrino longitudinal momenta

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{p}_{z,\nu} &= \frac{p_{z,e} (M_W^2 - M_T^2) \pm E_e \sqrt{(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2}}{2p_{T,e}^2} : \text{if } (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 > 0, \\ &= p_{z,e} \frac{p_{T,\nu}}{p_{T,e}} : \text{if } (M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 < 0.\end{aligned}$$

Although it is not obvious, for the case of interest,  $(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 < 0$ ,  $\tilde{p}_{z,\nu}$  is essentially (numerically) identical to  $\bar{p}_{z,\nu}$ . Note, for example, that the two quantities are equal for the boundary case,  $(M_W^2 - M_T^2)^2 - 4p_{T,e}^2 p_{T,\nu}^2 = 0$ .